

have to stop a billion dollar program like they did in the Redland case. This is the point Senator James has raised. The Committee in good faith went out and resolved the issue. It is mandatory. It means substantial compliance. There is not a reason in the world that any judge sitting on the circuit court would be confused about it at all. There are other sections in here, not only mandatory but literal like recording the votes. There is not any question about those but the fact the argument for the proponents of the amendment is simply this: that they do not think that these matters should be in the Constitution. They should be matters of rules but when they get back to adopting the rules you will not find them in the rules. I urge you to oppose this amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Storm.

DELEGATE STORM: Mr. Chairman, I would like to speak in favor of this amendment. You know the last meeting of the legislature was highly complimented as being one of tremendous ability and foresight.

I am sure that as population changes are reflected in redistricting and more and more people come in from the enlightened sections of the State, they will be wanting to do these things on their own and if you would just publish this part of the debate and see that the legislature gets it, we could leave out all of this business that we are sticking in here to cause trouble and you will be giving them your considered opinion. I think there is no one here who disagrees with many of the objectives which you have, and I think we can rely on the legislature, since we have strengthened the legislative branch of our government so beautifully, and since we have expressed our confidence and approval of their recent actions and can expect wonderful things from them in the future. Let's leave it up to them to follow right as we have laid it down in this debate for them to follow. I think they can do this by rule. I think they will do this by rule.

THE CHAIRMAN: Are you ready for the question?

Delegate Boyce.

DELEGATE BOYCE: Just one statement, Mr. President.

I think it is very important to all of us here, we have now agreed to give these legislators a great deal higher salary. We have now tried to give them advisability, and I do not think it is up to us now to

let them be permitted in the future to duck their vote, so that the public does not know it. Let's turn this amendment down. I do not like a lot of garbage in the constitution either. I want less and less words but it may be important and it seems to me it has gotten more important as the day has worn on to vote this down.

THE CHAIRMAN: Are you ready for the question?

*(Call for the question.)*

The question arises on the adoption of Amendment No. 20 to Committee Recommendation LB-2. The Clerk will ring the quorum bell.

A vote Aye is a vote in favor of Amendment No. 20. A vote No is a vote against. Cast your vote.

Has every delegate voted? Does any delegate desire to change his vote?

*(There was no response.)*

Mr. Boyce votes No. The Clerk will record the vote.

THE CHAIRMAN: There being 50 votes in the affirmative and 69 in the negative, the motion is lost. The amendment is rejected.

Delegate Clagett.

DELEGATE CLAGETT: Mr. Chairman, a parliamentary inquiry.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Clagett.

DELEGATE CLAGETT: The Committee will still continue its efforts to come up with a compromise?

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Gallagher.

DELEGATE GALLAGHER: We will be only too happy to.

DELEGATE BOROM: Mr. Chairman.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Borom.

DELEGATE BOROM: For the record, I made an error in my switch that time. I meant to vote against the record.

THE CHAIRMAN: Let the record be corrected to show that Delegate Borom's vote was against and not for. That changes the total, there being 49 votes in the affirmative and 70 in the negative.

Delegate James, do you desire to offer your amendment S?

DELEGATE JAMES: Yes.